ON ENDO-RIGID, STRONGLY N₁-FREE ABELIAN GROUPS IN N₁

BY S. SHELAH[†]

ABSTRACT

Assuming $2^{\aleph_0} < 2^{\aleph_1}$ we prove that there is an endo-rigid strongly \aleph_1 -free group of power \aleph_1 .

Here group will mean an abelian group.

- 1. DEFINITION. A group G is endo-rigid if every endomorphism $h: G \to G$ has the form h(x) = nx $(n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ fixed})$.
- 2. HISTORY. Fuchs [5] with the help of Coroner proved the existence of such groups up to very large cardinalities, Shelah [7] in all cardinals ($> \aleph_0$), Eklof and Mekler [4] prove the existence of strong κ -free, indecomposable groups of power κ , κ regular, under the hypothesis V = L, and Dugas [3] replaces indecomposable by endo-rigid.
- 3. THEOREM. $(2^{\aleph_0} < 2^{\aleph_1})$ There is an endo-rigid, strongly \aleph_1 -free group of power \aleph_1 .

REMARK. We can get 2^{κ_i} such groups with no non-zero homomorphism from one to another (see [1]).

4. CLAIM. Let G be a countable free abelian group, $c, b \in G$, $c \neq 0$, $b \neq 0$, b, c have no common multiple (by integers).

Let $G = \bigcup_{n < \omega} G_n$, $G_n \subseteq G_{n+1}$, G_{n+1}/G_n free (hence G/G_n is free). Let $a_n \in G_{n+1}$ be such that $a_n + G_n \in G_{n+1}/G_n$ is not divisible by any natural number, and for l = 0, 1 and $i < \omega$, $k_i^l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for infinitely many i's, $k_i^0 = k_i^1 = 0$, and for infinitely many i's $k_i^0 - k_i^1 = 1$.

[†]The author would like to thank the United States-Israel Binational Science Foundation for supporting this research by Grant 1110. The author thanks Prof. P. Eklof for detecting errors in the manuscript.

Received June 18, 1980

Let G'(l=0,1) be the group freely generated by G, x', $y'_i(i < \omega)$ except the relations $p_i y'_i = x' - a_i - k'_i b$ where $\langle p_i : i < \omega \rangle$ is a list of the primes.

Then

- (1) G' is countable and free; moreover, it is a pure extension of G, i.e., $nx \in G \land x \in G' \land n \neq 0$ implies $x \in G$.
 - (2) There are no homomorphisms $h_i: G^i \to G^i$, $h_0 \upharpoonright G = h_1 \upharpoonright G$, $h_0(b) = c$.
- (3) If $G' \subseteq G'$, G'/G' is \aleph_1 -free, f an endomorphism of G' mapping G into itself, then f maps G' into itself.
- 5. PROOF OF 4. Part (1) of the claim is trivial, and so is part (3) (as in G'/G, G'/G is the set of elements of G'/G divisible by infinitely many primes and f induces an endomorphism of G'/G).

So we concentrate on (2), and let $h = h_i \upharpoonright G$. For some $m, k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $d_i \in G$, $m \neq 0$, $mh_i(x^i) = k_i x^i + d_i$ (there are such m, k_i, d_i as $h_i(x^i) \in G^i$). (Why m and not m_i ? Use the least common multiple.)

So for every $i < \omega$, $l \in \{0, 1\}$, as $p_i y_i^l = x^l - a_i - k_i^l b$, clearly (remember that $h_l \mid G = h$)

$$mp_ih_i(y_i^l) = m(h_i(x_i^l) - h(a_i) - k_i^lh(b))$$

= $k_ix_i^l + d_i - mh(a_i) - m_ik_i^lc$.

So in G', $(k_ix^i + d_i - mh(a_i) - mk_i^ic)$ is divisible by p_i . But also $(x^i - a_i - k_i^ib)$ is divisible by p_i in G'. Hence in G'

$$z'_{i} = (k_{i}x' + d_{i} - mh(a_{i}) - mk'_{i}c) - k_{i}(x' - a_{i} - k'_{i}b)$$

= $d_{i} - mh(a_{i}) + k_{i}a_{i} + k'_{i}(k_{i}b - mc) \in G$

is divisible by p_i in G^l , but G is a pure subgroup of G^l , hence z_i^l is divisible by p_i in G. Hence

$$z_{i}^{0}-z_{i}^{1}=(d_{0}-d_{1})+k_{i}^{0}(k_{0}b-mc)-k_{i}^{1}(k_{1}b-mc)+(k_{0}-k_{1})a_{i}$$
$$=(d_{0}-d_{1})+(k_{0}-k_{1})a_{i}+(k_{0}^{0}k_{0}-k_{1}^{1}k_{1})b-m(k_{0}^{0}-k_{1}^{1})c$$

is divisible by p_i in G.

For large enough i, d_0 , d_1 , b, $c \in G_i$, hence $(k_0 - k_1)a_i + G_i$ is divisible by p_i (in G/G_i), but by the choice of a_i this implies $k_0 - k_1 = 0$, i.e., $k_0 = k_1$ (as otherwise we can choose i such that p_i does not divide $k_0 - k_1$).

So for every i, $(d_0 - d_1) + k_0(k_i^0 - k_i^1)b - m(k_i^0 - k_i^1)c$ is divisible by p_i . As for infinitely many i's, $k_i^0 = k_i^1$, for infinitely many primes p, $d_0 - d_1$ is divisible by p.

As G is free, $d_0 - d_1 = 0$. Similarly as for infinitely many i's, $k_i^0 - k_i^1 = 1$, $k_0b - mc = 0$ hence $k_0b = mc$. Clearly $m \neq 0$, thus we contradict a hypothesis.

6. FACT. If h is an endomorphism of an \aleph_1 -free (abelian) group G, and there is no $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for every x, h(x) = nx, then there are $b, c \in G$, h(b) = c, and $nb \neq mc$ for $n, m \neq 0$, and $b \neq 0$, $c \neq 0$.

PROOF. Suppose h is a counterexample. Then for every $x \in G$ not divisible by any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \neq 0, 1$, there is n_x such that $h(x) = n_x x$, hence for every $x \in G$ there is such n_x . Clearly if $k_0 x = k_1 y$ ($k_0 k_1 \neq 0$) then $n_x = n_y$. If the rank of G is 1, h is nx for some n, so there are $x, y \in G$ which are a basis of a free pure subgroup of G, and $n_x \neq n_y$. Trivially b = x + y, $c = h(b) = n_x x + n_y y$ are as required.

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 3. Let $\langle S_{\alpha} : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ be a list of \aleph_1 pairwise disjoint non-small stationary subsets of \aleph_1 (see [2], or e.g. [1]) such that $y \in S_{\alpha} \Rightarrow \alpha < y$.

Let $\{(b_{\alpha}, c_{\alpha}) : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a list of the pairs of ordinals smaller than ω_1 , such that $b_{\alpha}, c_{\alpha} \leq 1 + \alpha$.

Now we define by induction on $\alpha < \omega_1$, for every $\eta \in {}^{\alpha}2$, a group G_{η} such that:

- (1) G_{η} is a free (abelian) group with universe $\omega(1+\alpha) = \omega(1+l(\eta))$,
- (2) if $\nu = \eta \upharpoonright \beta$ then G_{ν} is a pure subgroup of G_{η} ,
- (3) if $\nu = \eta \lceil (\beta + 1)$ then G_{η}/G_{ν} is free, also $G_{\eta}/G_{\eta | 0}$ is free,
- (4) if $\alpha \in S_i$, α limit, $\eta \in {}^{\alpha}2$,

then there are no homomorphisms $h_l: G_{\eta^{\wedge}(l)} \to G_{\eta^{\wedge}(l)}$, $h_0 \upharpoonright G_{\eta} = h_1 \upharpoonright G_{\eta}$, $h_l(b_i) = c_i$, except when $mc_i = nb_i$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$, also if $G_{\eta^{\wedge}(l)} \subseteq G'$, $G'/G_{\eta^{\wedge}(l)}$ into $G_{\eta^{\wedge}(l)}$.

There is no problem in the definition; for (4) use the claim.

For each α , let F_{α} be the following function: If $\delta < \omega_1$, $\omega \delta = \delta$, $\eta \in {}^{\delta}2$, $h : \delta \to \delta$, h an endomorphism of G_{η} into G_{η} , $h(b_{\alpha}) = c_{\alpha}$ and h can be extended to an endomorphism of $G_{\eta \wedge (0)}$, then $F_{\alpha}(\eta, h) = 1$, otherwise $F_{\alpha}(\eta, h) = 0$.

By [2] there are $\nu_{\alpha} \in {}^{\omega_1}2$, such that for every $h : \omega_1 \to \omega_1$, $\eta \in {}^{\omega_1}2$, the set $\{\delta \in S_{\alpha} : F_{\alpha}(\eta \upharpoonright \delta, h \upharpoonright \delta) = \nu_{\alpha}(\delta)\}$ is stationary (because S_{α} is not small).

Let $\nu \in {}^{\omega_1}2$ be defined such that $i \in S_{\alpha} \Rightarrow \nu(i) = \nu_{\alpha}(i)$. Suppose h is an endomorphism of G_{ν} , such that for no n is h(x) = nx for every $x \in G_{\nu}$. By Fact 6, h(b) = c, b, c with no common multiple $\neq 0$, for some b, $c \in G_{\nu}$. For some α , $\langle b_{\alpha}, c_{\alpha} \rangle = \langle b, c \rangle$ (as $\{\langle b_{\alpha}, c_{\alpha} \rangle : \alpha < \omega_1 \}$ list all pairs of ordinals $\langle \omega_1 \rangle$. Also $S^* =$

 $\{\delta: h \text{ maps } \delta \text{ into } \delta, \ \omega\delta = \delta\}$ is a closed unbounded set of ω_1 . On the other hand, $S_{\alpha}^* = \{\delta \in S_{\alpha}: F_{\alpha}(\nu \upharpoonright \delta, h \upharpoonright \delta) = \nu_{\alpha}(\delta)\}$ is stationary. So there is $\delta \in S^* \cap S_{\alpha}^*$. Now $h \upharpoonright \delta$ is an endomorphism of $G_{\nu \upharpoonright \delta}$; it can be extended to an endomorphism of $G_{\nu \upharpoonright (\delta+1)} = G_{\nu \upharpoonright \delta}(\nu(\delta))$. What is $\nu(\delta)$? If it is zero, then $F_{\alpha}(\nu \upharpoonright \delta, h \upharpoonright \delta) = 1$ (by its definition) hence $\nu_{\alpha}(\delta) = 1$ (as $\delta \in S_{\alpha}^* \cap S^*$), but $\nu(\delta) = \nu_{\alpha}(\delta)$ as $\delta \in S_{\alpha}$, contradiction. If, on the other hand, $\nu(\delta) = 1$ then $h \upharpoonright G_{\nu \upharpoonright \delta}$ can be extended to an endomorphism of some $G' \supseteq G_{\nu \upharpoonright (\delta+1)} = G_{\nu \upharpoonright \delta}(\iota)$ (use G_{ν}), and also of some $G' \supseteq G_{\nu \upharpoonright \delta}(\iota)$ (as $1 = \nu(\delta) = \nu_{\alpha}(\delta) = F_{\alpha}(\nu \upharpoonright \delta, h \upharpoonright \delta)$ and the definition of F_{α}). This contradicts (4) in the requirements on the G_{γ} 's.

We can now ask: when does this proof generalize to cardinals $\lambda > \aleph_1$? For example:

- 8. THEOREM. Suppose
- (i) λ is a regular cardinal $> \aleph_0$,
- (ii) $S \subseteq \{\delta < \lambda : \text{cf } \delta = \aleph_0\},$
- (iii) S is not small (hence stationary, see [2]),
- (iv) S has no initial segment stationary (but is stationary). Then there is a strongly λ -free abelian group of power λ which is endo-rigid.
- 9. REMARK. (A) So in the proof $G = \bigcup_{i < \lambda} G_i$, G_i increasing continuous, each G_i free and $i < j \land i \notin S \Rightarrow G_i/G_i$ is free.
- (B) In the proof of 8 we need λ disjoint non-small subsets of S. Let $\delta = \bigcup_{n} \alpha(\delta, n)$, $\alpha(\delta, n) < \alpha(\delta, n+1)$ for $\delta \in S$, then for some n for λ α_0 's, $\{\delta : \alpha(\delta, n) = \alpha_0\}$ is not small; otherwise use the normality of the ideal of non-small subsets of λ . (This proof is well known and appears in Solovay [9].)
- (C) If G.C.H., $\lambda = \mu^+$, cf $\mu \neq \aleph_0$, we can omit (iii) (= non-smallness) as by Gregory [6] and Shelah [8] $\diamondsuit^* \{ \delta < \lambda : \text{cf } \delta \neq \text{cf } \mu \}$ holds, hence for every stationary $S \subseteq \lambda$, $(\forall \delta \in S)$ cf $\delta \neq \text{cf } \mu$, \diamondsuit_S holds, hence S is not small (see [2]).

REFERENCES

- 1. U. Avraham and S. Shelah, Isomorphism types of Aronszajn trees, independence results, Ann. Math. Logic, to appear.
- 2. K. J. Devlin and S. Shelah, A weak version of \diamondsuit which follows from $2^{n_0} < 2^{n_1}$, Israel J. Math. 29 (1978), 239-247.
 - 3. M. Dugas, thesis, University of Essen, West Germany, 1979.
- 4. P. Eklof and A. Mekler, On constructing indecomposable groups in L, J. Algebra 49 (1977), 96-106.
 - 5. L. Fuchs, Infinite Abelian Groups, Vol. I, II, Academic Press, 1970, 1973.
- 6. J. Gregory, Higher Souslin trees and the generalized continuum hypothesis, J. Symbolic Logic 41 (1976), 663-671.

- 7. S. Shelah, Infinite abelian groups, Whitehead problems and some constructions, Israel J. Math. 18 (1974), 243-256.
- 8. S. Shelah, On successor of singular cardinals, Proc. A.S.L. Meeting in Mons Aug. 1978, Logic Colloquium 78 (M. Boffa, D. Van Dalen and K. McAloon, eds.), Studies in Logic and the Foundation of Math., Vol. 97, North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, 1979, pp. 357-380.
- 9. R. M. Solovay, *Real valued measurable cardinals*, Proc. Symp. in Pure Math., Vol. XIII, 1 (D. Scott, ed.), Amer. Math. Soc., 1971, pp. 397-428.

THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM JERUSALEM, ISRAEL